

Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shahneen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O’Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹¹ David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA



Click anywhere to view this interactive poster

<https://www.congresshub.com/Oncology/GU2026/Pasritamig/Patel>

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.



Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

KEY TAKEAWAY



PAS + DOCE demonstrated promising clinical activity, and PAS was well-tolerated, with an outpatient regimen in mCRPC³

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX



Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

CONCLUSIONS

- ✓ PAS + DOCE demonstrated a safety profile consistent with the established profile of DOCE.^{5,6} No CRS (of any grade) was reported
- ✓ PAS + DOCE showed deep and durable PSA responses in participants previously treated with ARPI, Lu-177 PSMA RLT, and/or chemotherapy
- ✓ A Phase 3 trial, **KLK2-PASenger**, evaluating PAS + DOCE is now enrolling (NCT07225946). Additional combinations with PAS are being explored in Phase 1 trials

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX



Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

INTRODUCTION

- Docetaxel (DOCE) remains a standard of care for patients with metastatic castration-resistant prostate cancer (mCRPC) following disease progression on androgen receptor pathway inhibitors (ARPIs)¹
- Median overall survival (OS) remains short (~2 years), and despite newer therapies, survival outcomes in mCRPC have not demonstrated meaningful improvement²
- Pasritamig (PAS) is a first-in-class human kallikrein 2 (KLK2) T-cell engager (TCE) (**Figure 1**) and was well-tolerated (<10% cytokine release syndrome [CRS], all grade 1; transient fever) with every 6 weeks (Q6W) outpatient dosing and promising single-agent activity in heavily pretreated mCRPC³
- We report the initial safety profile and early efficacy signals of PAS + DOCE in mCRPC previously treated with ≥1 ARPI, chemotherapy, and/or lutetium Lu 177 vipivotide tetraxetan prostate-specific membrane antigen radioligand therapy (Lu -177 PSMA RLT)

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX




Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹¹ David R. Wise⁹


¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kim mel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralt Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1: (A) PAS simultaneously binds KLK2 (encoded by the *KLK2* gene) on prostate cancer cells and CD3 receptor complexes on T cells, leading to T-cell activation and subsequent lysis of cancer cells and (B) KLK2 is a novel target expressed on normal prostate and prostate cancer cells with limited expression in normal tissues⁴



A. First-in-class KLK2xCD3 TCE



B. KLK2 is highly and uniquely expressed in prostate

CD, cluster of differentiation; KLK2, human kallikrein 2; PAS, pasritamig; PSMA, prostate -specific membrane antigen; STEAP1, six-transmembrane epithelial antigen of the prostate 1; TCE, T-cell engager.



NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX

Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹³ David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA


METHODS

OBJECTIVE

- To determine the recommended Phase 2 regimen of PAS + DOCE in an open-label, Phase 1b study in mCRPC (NCT05818683)

STUDY DESIGN

FIGURE 2: Study Design and Dosing Schema



^aBased on NCI-CTCAE v5.0. AEs, adverse events; ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; D, day; DOCE, docetaxel; DOR, duration of response; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; IV, intravenous; Lu-177 PSMA RLT, lutetium Lu 177 vipivotide tetraxetan prostate-specific membrane antigen radioligand therapy; NCI-CTCAE, National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events; ORR, overall response rate; PAS, pasritamig; PCWG3, Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Working Group 3; PSA, prostate-specific antigen; Q3W, every 3 weeks; Q6W, every 6 weeks; rPFS, radiographic progression-free survival; SU, step-up dose; TD, target dose; TTR, time to response.



NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX

Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

RESULTS

- Participants received a median of 3 prior lines of therapy (range, 1–9) (Table 1)

TABLE 1: Baseline Characteristics

	Total (N=51)
Age, years, median (range)	70.0 (55–84)
ECOG PS, n (%)	
0	19 (37.3)
1	32 (62.7)
Baseline PSA, ng/mL (range)	23 (1.6–744.2)
Disease location, n (%)	
Bone only	26 (51.0)
Lymph node +/- bone without visceral	8 (15.7)
Any visceral	17 (33.3)
Liver	4 (7.8)
Non liver	13 (25.5)
Lines of prior systemic therapy, median (range)	3 (1–9)
Prior therapy, n (%)	
ARPI	51 (100.0)
Taxane chemotherapy	23 (45.1)
Docetaxel	22 (43.1)
Cabazitaxel	11 (21.6)
Lu-177 PSMA RLT	10 (19.6)

Data cut-off December 9, 2025. ARPI, androgen receptor pathway inhibitor; DOCE, docetaxel; ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status; Lu-177 PSMA RLT, lutetium Lu 177 vipivotide tetraxetan prostate-specific membrane antigen radioligand therapy; PSA, prostate-specific antigen.



NAVIGATION



- KEY TAKEAWAY
- CONCLUSIONS
- INTRODUCTION
- FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager
- METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema
- RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics
- FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics
- TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment
- TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)
- TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses
- FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA
- FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses
- APPENDIX

Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study


Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹³ David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

RESULTS

- PAS serum concentrations measured at pre-dose and end-of-infusion (approximating C_{trough} and C_{max} , N=50) after 300 mg Q6W dose in combination with DOCE were similar to PAS monotherapy (Figure 3)

FIGURE 3: Pharmacokinetics of PAS + DOCE Is Consistent With PAS Monotherapy



Data cut -off July 22, 2025. Profile of mean observed (plot with SD error bars; semilogarithmic plots) serum concentrations of PASI administered in combination with DOCE and mean predicted (solid line) serum concentrations of PASI established from monotherapy study (compartment model analysis). DOCE, docetaxel; PAS, pasritamig; SD, standard deviation.



NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX

Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

RESULTS

- Median number of treatment doses for PAS Q6W is 8 (range, 3 –12) and for DOCE every 3 weeks (Q3W) is 6 (range, 1 – 17) (Table 2)
- Participants who discontinued DOCE were allowed to continue PAS

TABLE 2: Exposure and Duration of Treatment^a

	All Treated (N=51)					
	PAS (Q6W)			DOCE (Q3W)		
	Overall	Taxane- Naive	Taxane- Exposed	Overall	Taxane- Naive	Taxane- Exposed
Duration of treatment, months, median (range)	7.39 (0.5–13.0)	7.51 (0.5–11.5)	6.01 (0.5–13.0)	3.48 (0.0–11.1)	3.81 (0.7–10.3)	3.48 (0.0–11.1)
Cumulative duration of treatment, months, n (%)						
<3 months	51 (100)	28 (100)	23 (100)	51 (100)	28 (100)	23 (100)
≥3 months	39 (76.5)	23 (82.1)	16 (69.6)	32 (62.7)	19 (67.9)	13 (56.5)
≥6 months	33 (64.7)	21 (75.0)	12 (52.5)	12 (23.5)	7 (25.0)	5 (21.7)
Number of treatment doses received, median (range)	8 (3–12)	8 (3–11)	7 (3–12)	6 (1–17)	6 (2–16)	6 (1–17)

^aDuration of treatment to date. The trial is still ongoing and many participants are continuing treatment. Data cut-off December 9, 2025. DOCE, docetaxel; PAS, pasritamig; Q3W, every 3 weeks; Q6W, every 6 weeks.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX



Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

RESULTS

- No participants discontinued PAS due to treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs), while 13 (25.5%) participants discontinued DOCE due to TRAEs
- No dose-limiting toxicities were observed
- The most common TRAEs are summarized (**Table 3**)
- No CRS (any grade) or fatal TRAEs were reported
- Most common TRAEs related to PAS included fatigue (33.3%), diarrhea (11.8%), and rash maculopapular (9.8%); no dose reductions were required

TABLE 3: Most Common (≥10%, All Grade) PAS-Related and DOCE-Related TRAEs

Preferred Term, n (%)	All Grade (N=51)			Grade ≥3 (N=51)		
	Overall	PAS-Related	DOCE-Related	Overall	PAS-Related	DOCE-Related
≥1 TRAE	50 (98.0)	31 (60.8)	49 (96.1)	15 (29.4)	1 (2.0)	15 (29.4)
Fatigue	31 (60.8)	17 (33.3)	28 (54.9)	0	0	0
Alopecia	21 (41.2)	0	21 (41.2)	0	0	0
Diarrhea	16 (31.4)	6 (11.8)	15 (29.4)	1 (2.0)	0	1 (2.0)
Nausea	16 (31.4)	3 (5.9)	15 (29.4)	0	0	0
Peripheral edema	14 (27.5)	2 (3.9)	14 (27.5)	0	0	0
Peripheral sensory neuropathy	13 (25.5)	0	13 (25.5)	0	0	0
Dysgeusia	12 (23.5)	4 (7.8)	12 (23.5)	0	0	0
Anemia	9 (17.6)	2 (3.9)	9 (17.6)	4 (7.8)	1 (2.0) ^a	4 (7.8)
Decreased appetite	8 (15.7)	1 (2.0)	8 (15.7)	1 (2.0)	0	1 (2.0)
Nail discoloration	8 (15.7)	0	8 (15.7)	0	0	0
Stomatitis	8 (15.7)	1 (2.0)	8 (15.7)	0	0	0
Infusion-related reaction	6 (11.8)	0	6 (11.8)	0	0	0
Myalgia	6 (11.8)	4 (7.8)	3 (5.9)	0	0	0
Rash maculopapular	6 (11.8)	5 (9.8)	4 (7.8)	0	0	0
Neutropenia	5 (9.8)	0	5 (9.8)	4 (7.8)	0	4 (7.8)

Data cut-off December 9, 2025. Participants are counted only once for any given event, regardless of the number of times they experienced the event. ^aIn 1 patient, Grade 3 anemia TRAE was attributed to both PAS and DOCE. DOCE, docetaxel; PAS, pasritamig; TRAE, treatment-related adverse event.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX



Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹³ David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kim mel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

RESULTS

- Overall, the response rate for participants with confirmed reduction in prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels by 50% (PSA50) and 90% (PSA90) were 64.7% (33/51) and 39.2% (20/51), respectively (**Table 4**)
 - When classified by disease extent, the taxane-naïve, bone-only subgroup demonstrated the highest confirmed response rates, with PSA50 at 88.2% (15/17) and PSA90 at 76.5% (13/17)
- PSA50 and PSA90 responses, together with disease control, were observed despite prior taxane exposure (**Table 4, Figure 4, Figure 5**)
- Overall response rates (ORR) of 28.6% (2/7) and 6.7% (1/15) were observed in participants with Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) measurable disease in the non-visceral and any visceral subgroups, respectively

TABLE 4: Summary of Confirmed PSA Responses

	Taxane-Naïve				Prior Taxane				Overall			
	Lymph Node ± Bone		Any		Lymph Node ± Bone		Any		Lymph Node ± Bone		Any	
	Bone Only	Without Visceral	Visceral	Total	Bone Only	Without Visceral	Any Visceral	Total	Bone Only	Without Visceral	Any Visceral	Total
Analysis set: All Treated, N	17	4	7	28	9	4	10	23	26	8	17	51
PSA50 (confirmed), n (%)	15 (88.2)	4 (100)	2 (28.6)	21 (75.0)	7 (77.8)	2 (50.0)	3 (30.0)	12 (52.2)	22 (84.6)	6 (75.0)	5 (29.4)	33 (64.7)
PSA90 (confirmed), n (%)	13 (76.5)	1 (25.0)	1 (14.3)	15 (53.6)	3 (33.3)	1 (25.0)	1 (10.0)	5 (21.7)	16 (61.5)	2 (25.0)	2 (11.8)	20 (39.2)

Data cut-off December 9, 2025. PSA, prostate-specific antigen; PSA50, 50% reduction in PSA levels; PSA90, 90% reduction in PSA levels.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX



Safety and Efficacy of Pasritamig (PAS) + Docetaxel (DOCE) in Participants With Metastatic Castration-Resistant Prostate Cancer (mCRPC): Initial Results of a Phase 1b Study

Manish R. Patel,¹ Russell Pachynski,² Shalmeen Sandhu,³ Aaron Hansen,⁴ Kevin K. Zarrabi,⁵ Craig Gedye,⁶ Nehal Lakhani,⁷ Howard Gurney,⁸ Justin Lebenthal,⁹ Mary O'Keeffe,⁹ Samantha Hopkins,³ Nancy Huang,⁸ Debopriya Ghosh,¹⁰ Ligi Mathews,¹¹ Regina J. Brown,¹¹ Vincent Lin,¹¹ Josh Lauring,¹¹ Sherry C. Wang,¹² Victor Villalobos,¹² David R. Wise⁹

¹Florida Cancer Specialists/Sarah Cannon Research Institute, Sarasota, FL, USA; ²Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, USA; ³Peter MacCallum Cancer Center, Melbourne, Australia; ⁴Princess Alexandra Hospital, Woolloongabba, Australia; ⁵Sidney Kimmel Cancer Center, Philadelphia, PA, USA; ⁶Icon Cancer Centre Adelaide, Kurralta Park, Australia; ⁷START Center for Cancer Research, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; ⁸Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia; ⁹Perlmutter Cancer Center, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA; ¹⁰Johnson & Johnson, Raritan, NJ, USA; ¹¹Johnson & Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; ¹²Johnson & Johnson, San Francisco, CA, USA

APPENDIX

REFERENCES:

1. Farha NG, Kasi A. Docetaxel. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. Updated June 8, 2024. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK537242/>.
2. Freedland SJ, et al. *Prostate Cancer Prostatic Dis.* 2024;27(2):327-333.
3. Baldini C, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2025;43(suppl 16):Abstract 5071.
4. Stein MN, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2025;43(22):2515-2526.
5. Tannock IF, et al. *N Engl J Med.* 2004;351(15):1502-1512.
6. Petrylak DP, et al. *J Clin Oncol.* 2025;43:1638-1649.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

We are grateful to the patients, their families/caregivers, and the clinical trial teams for their contribution to this study. We would like to thank Pharavee Jaiprasart, Malhar Khatu, Daksh Thaper, Dorie Capaldi, Kirsten Shotts, Brent Rupnow, Christoph Goris, and Linda Wilson for their contributions to this study. Medical writing support provided by Fingerpaint Medical and funded by Johnson & Johnson.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

FIGURE 1
Pasritamig, a KLK2 T-cell engager

METHODS
Figure 2: Study design and dosing schema

RESULTS
Table 1: Baseline characteristics

FIGURE 3
Pharmacokinetics

TABLE 2
Exposure and duration of treatment

TABLE 3
Most common TRAEs (≥10%, all grades)

TABLE 4
Summary of confirmed PSA responses

FIGURE 4
Maximum reduction in PSA

FIGURE 5
Study duration and PSA responses

APPENDIX

