

Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J D Wallis ¹, Shawn Malone ², Ilias Cagiannos ³, Robert J Hamilton ⁴, Naveen S Basappa ⁵, Cristiano Ferrario ⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto ⁷, Ricardo Fernandes ⁸, Tamim Niazi ⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹ Fred Saad ¹², Sebastien J Hotte ¹³, Brendan Osborne ¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan ¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan ¹⁵

¹Division of Urology and Surgical Oncology, Department of Surgery, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, University Health Network, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada ;²Division of Radiation Oncology, The Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada;³Division of Urology, The Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; ⁴Department of Surgery, University of Toronto, Princess Margaret Cancer Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada; ⁵Department of Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada. ⁶Department of Oncology, McGill University, Segal Cancer Centre, Jewish General Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada; ⁷Department of Surgery, Southern Alberta Institute of Urology, University of Calgary, Calgary, AB, Canada; ⁸Division of Medical Oncology, London Regional Cancer Program, London, ON, Canada; ⁹Radiation Oncology Department, Jewish General Hospital, McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada; ¹⁰The Ottawa Hospital, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada; ¹¹Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre, Dalhousie University, Halifax, NS, Canada; ¹²Genitourinary Oncology, Centre Hospitalier de l'Université de Montréal, University of Montreal, Montréal, QC, Canada.; ¹³Department of Oncology, McMaster University, Juravinski Cancer Centre, Hamilton, ON, Canada.; ¹⁴Medical Affairs, Johnson and Johnson, Toronto, ON, Canada; ¹⁵Institute of Urology, St Joseph's Healthcare, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada.



Click anywhere to view this interactive poster

<https://www.congresshub.com/Oncology/GU2026/Analousamide/Wallis>

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J.D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

KEY TAKEAWAYS

- Despite guideline recommendations, **multidisciplinary** care remains inconsistently delivered in localized prostate cancer, particularly among **high-risk** patients.
- Improving coordinated, team-based care is essential to optimize treatment decisions and outcomes.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

CONCLUSIONS

- ✔ Despite the importance of multidisciplinary management, significant gaps exist in the coordination of care for prostate cancer patients, especially among high-risk groups.
- ✔ Strengthening collaborative approaches is crucial to enhance treatment decision-making and improve clinical outcomes.
- ✔ Future efforts should focus on optimizing multidisciplinary pathways to ensure comprehensive, patient-centered care, particularly in the context of emerging data for systemic treatment intensification for these patients.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

INTRODUCTION

- Prostate cancer (PCa) is a heterogeneous disease with prognosis determined by clinical risk stratification.
- Patients with high- or very high-risk (H/vHR) disease face substantially higher risks of recurrence, metastasis, and prostate cancer-specific mortality compared with those with intermediate-risk (IR) disease.^{1,2}
- Optimal management requires coordinated multidisciplinary care involving urology, radiation oncology, and medical oncology.
- This study aims to characterize current management practices and multidisciplinary care patterns among patients with high/very high-risk and intermediate-risk prostate cancer in Ontario, Canada.
- The focus is on evaluating consultation rates, treatment approaches, and coordination of care during the initial diagnosis and the first-year post-diagnosis.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

METHODS

- A retrospective, population-based cohort study was conducted using province-wide linked administrative data from Ontario.
- The cohort included patients diagnosed with H/vHR PCa and 16,650 with IR disease between 2010 and 2021.
- Descriptive analyses summarized consultation patterns with urology, radiation oncology, and medical oncology, both prior to treatment and within the first year after diagnosis.
- Comparisons between groups were performed using standardized differences and p-values.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

RESULTS

- Between 2010 and 2021, 12,939 patients diagnosed with H/vHR PCa and 16,650 with IR disease were identified. Most patients in both groups visited a urologist (H/vHR: 97.8%; IR: 94%; $p < 0.001$) (**Table 1**).
- However, fewer high-risk patients had radiation oncology consultations before treatment (H/vHR: 41.2%; IR: 62.5%; $p < 0.001$).
- Among those undergoing radical prostatectomy, about 40% had prior radiation oncologist consultations, with no significant difference across risk groups.
- Medical oncology consultations were rare (~2%) in both groups.
- Within the first year, approximately one-third of patients met only with a urologist, but over 65% met with both urologists and radiation oncologists.
- Notably, only 40% of high-risk and 32% of IR patients received coordinated multidisciplinary care involving urology, radiation, and medical oncology.

Limitations

Due to the administrative data sources used, only formal consultations were identified. Informal multi-specialty consultation would not have been noted and thus these data may under-estimate multi-disciplinary care.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J.D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

RESULTS

TABLE 1: Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by Localized Prostate Cancer (LPC) Risk

	Total	Intermediate Risk	High and very high risk	P value	Standardized Difference
Urologist visits between index and first treatment among patients with PCa specific treatments	28,438 (96.1%)	16,278 (97.8%)	12,160 (94.0%)	<.0001	0.191
Radiation oncologist visits between index and first treatment among patients with PCa specific treatments	15,741 (53.2%)	10,412 (62.5%)	5,329 (41.2%)	<.0001	0.437
Medical oncologist visits between index and first treatment among patients with PCa specific treatments	639 (2.2%)	343-347*	292-296*	0.2401	0.014
Urologists only visit in the first year after index among all patients	8,895 (30.06%)	5,424 (32.58%)	3,471 (26.83%)	<.0001	0.126
Medical Oncologist visits between first treatment and castration resistance among patients who received PC specific treatments and developed castration-resistant prostate cancer (CRPC)	340 (27.3%)	70 (24.6%)	270 (28.1%)	0.2357	0.081
Multi-D (Urologist + Radiation Oncologist + Medical Oncologist) visits care between index and death (limit to patients who died during study period)	2,397 (36.75%)	905 (32.30%)	1,492 (40.10%)	<.0001	0.163

*Exact numbers suppressed to preserve patient anonymity based on ICES privacy regulations.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk



Patterns of Management and Multidisciplinary Care in High/Very High and Intermediate Risk Prostate Cancer: A Population-Based Study in Ontario, Canada

Christopher J D Wallis¹, Shawn Malone², Ilias Cagiannos³, Robert J Hamilton⁴, Naveen S Basappa⁵, Cristiano Ferrario⁶, Geoffrey T Gotto⁷, Ricardo Fernandes⁸, Tamim Niazi⁹, Christopher Morash¹⁰, Ricardo Rendon¹¹, Fred Saad¹², Sebastien J Hotte¹³, Brendan Osborne¹⁴, Anousheh Zardan¹⁴, Bobby Shayegan¹⁵

APPENDIX

REFERENCES:

1. Freedland SJ, Nair S, Lin X, Karsh L, Pieczonka C, Potluri R, et al. A US real-world study of treatment patterns and outcomes in localized or locally advanced prostate cancer patients. *World J Urol.* 2023 Dec 1;41(12):3535–42.
2. Eastham JA, Auffenberg GB, Barocas DA, Chou R, Crispino T, Davis JW, et al. Clinically Localized Prostate Cancer: AUA/ASTRO Guideline, Part II: Principles of Active Surveillance, Principles of Surgery, and Follow-Up. *The Journal of Urology* [Internet]. 2022 Jul [cited 2025 Jun 2]; Available from: <https://www.auajournals.org/doi/10.1097/JU.0000000000002758>.
3. Mohler JL, Antonarakis ES. NCCN Guidelines Updates: Management of Prostate Cancer. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw.* 2019 May 1;17(5.5):583–6.

DISCLOSURES:

Author disclosures can be found on the ASCO GU website.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

This study was sponsored by Johnson & Johnson.

NAVIGATION



KEY TAKEAWAY

CONCLUSIONS

INTRODUCTION

METHODS

RESULTS

TABLE 1
Physician Management among Patients who Received Treatment after PCa Diagnosis, by LPC Risk

APPENDIX

