




Hidetoshi Hayashi¹, Benjamin Besse², Se-Hoon Lee³, Shun Lu⁴, Danny Nguyen⁵, Sanjay Popat⁶, James Chih-Hsin Yang⁷, Maya Gottfried⁸, Josiane Mourão Dias⁹, Claudio Martin¹⁰, Mehmet Ali Nahit Şendür¹¹, Luis Paz-Ares¹², Scott Owen¹³, Maria Del Rosario Garcia Campelo¹⁴, Bo Wang¹⁵, Seema Sethi¹⁶, Sujay Shah¹⁶, Jiayu Zhang¹⁶, Joshua C Curtin¹⁷, Byoung Chul Cho¹⁸

Key Takeaway

Conclusions

 Consistent with the prior analysis,³ this updated analysis demonstrated significantly lower incidences of *MET* and *EGFR* resistance alterations with amivantamab + lazertinib versus osimertinib, with no significant upregulation in other resistance pathways

 Development of *MET* amplification was associated with early treatment discontinuation of osimertinib

 A reduction in mutational heterogeneity along with the reductions in *MET* and *EGFR* resistance alterations may explain the long-term survival observed with amivantamab + lazertinib

 Poster

 Narrated poster video

<https://www.congresshub.com/Oncology/WCLC2025/Amivantamab/Hayashi-LBA>

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

Acknowledgments

Disclosures

[illegible]

- Progression on osimertinib is nearly inevitable due to acquired resistance that can be diverse and polyclonal⁴⁻⁶
 - The most common resistance mechanisms to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs), with or without chemotherapy, are *EGFR* and *MET* alterations^{4,7,8}
 - Amivantamab, an EGFR-MET bispecific antibody, was combined with the EGFR TKI lazertinib to proactively address these mechanisms of acquired resistance
- Amivantamab is approved in combination with lazertinib for first-line (1L) common *EGFR*-mutant non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and across various *EGFR*-mutant NSCLC indications^{9,10}

- Among participants with matched baseline and EOT samples, 198 were included in the osimertinib arm and 148 in the amivantamab + lazertinib arm (**Figure 1**)

```
graph TD; A[Randomization  
(N=858)] --> B[Osimertinib  
(n=429; blinded)]; A --> C[Amivantamab + Lazertinib  
(n=429; open label)]; B --> D[Ongoing  
(n=113)]; B --> E[Discontinued treatment  
(n=316)]; C --> F[Ongoing  
(n=153)]; C --> G[Discontinued treatment  
(n=270)]; E --> H[With baseline ctDNA data  
(n=218)]; G --> I[With baseline ctDNA data  
(n=187)]; H --> J[EOT+ samples  
(n=214)]; I --> K[EOT+ samples  
(n=158)]; J --> L[Matched baseline and EOT+  
(n=198; previously 140)]; K --> M[Matched baseline and EOT+  
(n=148; previously 113)];
```

The flowchart illustrates the patient flow from randomization to the final matched baseline and EOT+ samples. It starts with 858 patients randomized into two groups: Osimertinib (n=429, blinded) and Amivantamab + Lazertinib (n=429, open label). For the Osimertinib group, 113 patients were ongoing, 316 were discontinued, 218 had baseline ctDNA data, 214 had EOT+ samples, and 198 had matched baseline and EOT+ samples (previously 140). For the Amivantamab + Lazertinib group, 153 patients were ongoing, 270 were discontinued, 187 had baseline ctDNA data, 158 had EOT+ samples, and 148 had matched baseline and EOT+ samples (previously 113).

*Sample taken within 90 days of discontinuation if EOT sample was not available. Last EOT sample was collected in December 2024. Among the matched baseline and EOT subset, median follow-up was 39.3 months.
ctDNA, circulating tumor DNA; EOT, end of treatment.

- The acquired resistance mutational landscape is shown in **Figure 2**
- *MET* amplification ($P=0.002$) and secondary *EGFR* resistance mutations ($P=0.01$) were significantly reduced with amivantamab + lazertinib versus osimertinib (**Figure 3**)
 - Amivantamab + lazertinib significantly reduced the incidence of acquired *MET* amplifications by ~4-fold and *EGFR* resistance mutations by ~5-fold versus osimertinib
 - No meaningful increases in other molecular escape pathways were observed with amivantamab + lazertinib
- Acquired *MET* amplifications and *EGFR* C797S mutations that occurred in the amivantamab + lazertinib arm are shown in **Figure 4**
 - Longer duration of amivantamab treatment was associated with even fewer acquired *MET* or *EGFR* mutations
 - 98% of participants (99/101) who received ≥ 6 months of amivantamab did not acquire a *MET* amplification
 - No participants (0/101) who received ≥ 1 month of amivantamab acquired an *EGFR* C797S mutation
 - Subcutaneous delivery of amivantamab and previously demonstrated prophylactic management^{11–13} may prolong the duration of treatment, which may reduce additional opportunities for acquired resistance

References

1. Cho BC, et al. *N Engl J Med*. 2019;381(16):1486-1498. 2. Yang J-C, et al. Presented at: European Lung Cancer Congress (ELCC); March 26-29, 2025; Paris, France. 3. Besse B, et al. Presented at: European Society for Medical Oncology (ESMO) Congress; September 13-17, 2024; Barcelona, Spain. 4. Leonetti A, et al. *Br J Cancer*. 2023;129(11):725-737. 5. Yu HA, et al. *J Clin Oncol*. 2023;41(suppl 16):9074. 6. Ramalingam SS, et al. *Ann Oncol*. 2019;29(suppl 16):W1740. 7. Chmielecki J, et al. *Not Comm*. 2023;14(1):1070. 8. Yang J-C, et al. Presented at: World Conference on Lung Cancer (WCLC); September 7-10, 2024; Sarago, Spain. 9. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 10. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 11. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 12. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 13. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 14. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 15. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 16. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 17. Park SY, et al. *J Clin Invest*. 2020;130(12):636-644. 18. Moon SH, et al. *Proc Am Soc Res Mol Biol*. 2018;44(4):454-459. 19. Sharma A, et al. *Cell Rep*. 2019;29(18):2164-2174. 20. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 21. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 22. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 23. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 24. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 25. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 26. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 27. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 28. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 29. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 30. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 31. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 32. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 33. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 34. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 35. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 36. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 37. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 38. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 39. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 40. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 41. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 42. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 43. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 44. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 45. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 46. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 47. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 48. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 49. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 50. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 51. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 52. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 53. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 54. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 55. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 56. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 57. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 58. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 59. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 60. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 61. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 62. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 63. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 64. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 65. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 66. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 67. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 68. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 69. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 70. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 71. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 72. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 73. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 74. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 75. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 76. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 77. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 78. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 79. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 80. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 81. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 82. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 83. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 84. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 85. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 86. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 87. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 88. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 89. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 90. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 91. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 92. Chmielecki J, et al. *Anticancer Res*. 2023;43(10):5391-5400. 93. Chmielecki J, et al

Osimertinib: EOT acquired resistance*

EGFR/MET dependent (n=50) | **EGFR/MET independent*** (n=50)

EOT resistance mutations

EGFR C797S
EGFR L718Q
EGFR G724K
MET
TP53/RB1
PIK3CA
PTEN
STK11
AKT1
BRAF
KRAS
NRAS
PTPN11
RAF1
ERBB2
KIT
PDGFRA
RET
FGFR1
JAK2
FGFR2
FGFR3
CDKN1
CDKN2A
CDKN2A
CDKN2A
CDKN2A

■ Amplification ■ EGFR alteration ■ Indel ■ Missense mutation ■ Multi ■ Nonsense mutation ■ Fusion

*No clear resistance mechanisms (unknown) were detected in 59% of participants for osimertinib and in 68% of participants for amivantamab + lazertinib. †Including *TP53/RB1*, *PI3K*, *RAS/RAF*, other RTK, and cell cycle EOT, end of treatment.

Biomarker	Osimertinib (n=198) (%)	Amivantamab + Lazertinib (n=148) (%)	P-value
MET amplification	13.1%	3.4%	0.002
Secondary EGFR resistance mutations (C797S, L718X, G724X)	7.6%	1.4%	0.01
HER2 amplification	4.5%	8.8%	
PI3K	9.1%	8.1%	
RAS/RAF	11.6%	10.1%	
Other R	4.5%	2.0%	

¹Includes *BRAF*, *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *PTPN11*, and *RAF1*. ²Includes *CCNE1*, *CDK4*, *CDKN2A*, *CCND2*, *CDK6*, and *CCND*.

- Acquired *MET* amplifications were associated with early discontinuation of osimertinib (**Figure 5**)
- Resistance complexity was significantly higher following osimertinib versus amivantamab + lazertinib treatment ($P=0.02$; **Figure 6**)

A bar chart comparing the percentage of participants with MET amplification at discontinuation for two treatment groups: Osimertinib (n=198) and Amivantamab + Lazertinib (n=148). The Y-axis represents the percentage of participants with MET amplification at discontinuation (%), ranging from 0 to 25. The X-axis shows three time points: Discontinuation within 6 months, Discontinuation within 9 months, and Discontinuation within 12 months. For each time point, there are two bars: a dark grey bar for Osimertinib and a red bar for Amivantamab + Lazertinib. The data is as follows:

Time Point	Osimertinib (n=198)	Amivantamab + Lazertinib (n=148)
Discontinuation within 6 months	23% (5/22)	4% (1/27)
Discontinuation within 9 months	21% (9/44)	5% (2/37)
Discontinuation within 12 months	19% (12/64)	4% (2/54)

Note: There were no *MET* amplifications at baseline. Acquired *EGFR* mutations occurred after 12 months, and the incidence for amivantamab + lazertinib remained low at all time points (<2%).

Participants with ≥ 2 pathogenic alterations present at EOT

Treatment Group	Participants (%)
Osimertinib	67.2%
Amivantamab + Lazertinib	54.7%

$P=0.02$

^aIndex of mutational heterogeneity was calculated using the Shannon Index, integrating the total number of somatic variants and their respective allele frequencies using all somatic variants.¹⁴⁻¹⁵ EOT, end of treatment.

Acquired MET amplifications (n=5)

Participant	Treatment	Duration (months)	Marker
1	Amivantamab	21.2	MET amplification
2	Lazertinib	21.0	MET amplification
3	Lazertinib	13.4	MET amplification
4	Amivantamab	5.6	MET amplification
5	Amivantamab	3.3	MET amplification

Acquired EGFR C797S mutations (n=2)

Participant	Treatment	Duration (months)	Marker
1	Lazertinib	0.5	EGFR C797S
2	Lazertinib	0.5	EGFR C797S

Lung Cancer

