

Long-term maintenance treatment with daratumumab plus lenalidomide helped patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma (MM) who already completed induction therapy and underwent an autologous stem cell transplant to control their disease and live longer without their MM getting worse compared with lenalidomide alone. There were also no new side effects of concern with daratumumab plus lenalidomide



WHAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF THIS STUDY?

 Researchers wanted to see if a combination of 2 drugs, daratumumab plus lenalidomide, worked better than lenalidomide alone as long-term maintenance therapy in patients with newly diagnosed MM who already completed induction therapy, underwent autologous stem cell transplant, and still had MM cells in their bone marrow (referred to as minimal residual disease positivity)



WHO WAS IN THE STUDY AND HOW WAS IT CARRIED OUT?

- The AURIGA study (NCT03901963) was conducted by randomly assigning patients to receive either daratumumab plus lenalidomide or lenalidomide alone as long-term maintenance therapy
- The main goal of this analysis was to compare how well each treatment controlled the patients' disease, with no detectable MM cells found in their bone marrow (referred to as minimal residual disease negativity)



Patients 18-79 years old

with newly diagnosed MM who completed induction treatment and underwent autologous stem cell transplant Patients assigned to treatment group

Up to 36 cycles of maintenance treatment:



OF

Lenalidomide

Daratumumab + lenalidomide

Lenalidon

Patients assessed



 Minimal residual disease negativity at the 10⁻⁵ threshold (no detectable MM cells out of 100,000 healthy bone marrow cells)



Secondary assessments presented here:

- Minimal residual disease negativity lasting 12 months or longer
- Progression-free survival
- Safety

Updated Efficacy and Safety Results of Subcutaneous Daratumumab Plus Lenalidomide Versus Lenalidomide Alone as Maintenance Therapy in Newly Diagnosed Multiple Myeloma After Transplant: AURIGA Study

Larry D Anderson Jr¹, Alfred Chung², Laahn Foster³, Chakra Chaulagain⁴, Erin M Pettijohn⁵, Andrew Cowan⁶, Caitlin Costello७, Sarah M Larson⁶, Douglas W Sborov⁶, Kenneth H Shain¹⁰, Rebecca Silbermann¹¹, Peter M Voorhees¹², Robert Rifkin¹³, Maria Krevvata¹⁴, Mai Ngo¹⁶, Sharmila Patel¹⁶, Vipin Khare¹⁶, Annelore Cortoos¹⁶, Thomas S Lin¹⁶, Ashraf Badros¹⁷

'Myeloma, Waldenstrom's and Amyloidosis Program, Simmons Comprehensive Cancer Center, UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, USA; 'Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA; 'Division of Hematology, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA, USA; 'Department of Hematology and Oncology, Myeloma and Amyloidosis Program, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA; 'Cancer and Hematology Centers of Western Michigan, Grand Rapids, MI, USA; 'Division of Medicial Oncology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; 'Moores Cancer Center, University of California San Diego, La Jola, CA, USA; 'Division of Hematology and Oncology, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, USA; 'Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA; 'Department of Malignant Hematology, H Lee Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL, USA; 'Knight Cancer Institute, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, OR, USA; '2Levine Cancer Institute, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Charlotte, NC, USA; 'USO nocology Research, Rocky Mountain Cancer Centers, Denver, CO, USA; 'Johnson, Spring House, PA, USA; 'Scytel, Cambridge, MA, USA; 'dilination at the time of study conduct

WHAT WERE THE RESULTS?







