


# Outcomes of outpatient step-up dosing (SUD) of teclistamab and talquetamab in patients with relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM): findings from a large network of community practices in the USA


Joseph Abrams<sup>1</sup>, Cathryn Barisonek<sup>1</sup>, Vanessa Mirsky<sup>1</sup>, Kimberly Melgarejo<sup>2</sup>, Maithili Deshpande<sup>3</sup>, Felice Yang<sup>3</sup>, Niodita Gupta-Werner<sup>3</sup>, Xinke Zhang<sup>3</sup>, Tonya Le Blanc<sup>3</sup>, Lisa Raff<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>OneOncology, Nashville, TN, USA. <sup>2</sup>The Cancer & Hematology Centers, Grand Rapids, MI, USA. <sup>3</sup>Johnson & Johnson, Horsham, PA, USA.




### Key Takeaway


Outpatient SUD of Tec or Tal is feasible in community oncology practices and can be safely managed in heavily pre-treated patients with RRMM.




### Conclusions




All patients initiating Tec or Tal SUD in the OP setting successfully completed SUD.



Most patients receiving OP SUD completed SUD without any hospitalization.



The frequency and severity of CRS and ICANS were numerically comparable across the OP, IP and HY cohorts.



Scan the QR code

Poster

<https://www.congresshub.com/Oncology/AMS2025/Tecistamab/Raff>

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

**Acknowledgments**  
The authors would like to thank Saurabh Patel who was an employee of Johnson & Johnson, and Brenda Simpson an employee of OneOncology for their contribution to this study. This study was funded by Johnson & Johnson. Editorial assistance was provided by Nicola Lloyd-Wood of ESP Medical Communications and supported by Johnson & Johnson.

**Disclosures**  
Lisa Raff, Vanessa Mirsky, Joseph Abrams, Cathryn Barisonek, and Kimberly Melgarejo have no conflicts of interest to disclose. Felice Yang was a contracted employee of Johnson & Johnson at the time of this study. Saurabh Patel was an employee of Johnson & Johnson at the time of this study and may have owned shares/ stock options. Niodita Gupta-Werner, Xinke Zhang, Maithili Deshpande, and Tonya Le Blanc are employees of Johnson & Johnson and may own shares/stock options in Johnson & Johnson.

## Introduction

- Teclistamab (Tec) and talquetamab (Tal) are two first-in-class bispecific T-cell engaging antibodies approved in the USA for the treatment of relapsed/refractory multiple myeloma (RRMM).<sup>1,2</sup>
- Per the US label, Tec and Tal should be initiated using step-up dosing (SUD) with recommended pre-treatment medications in an inpatient (IP) setting to mitigate the risk of cytokine release syndrome (CRS) and immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome (ICANS).<sup>1,2</sup>
- However, to reduce healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) and improve patient convenience, many institutions are implementing SUD initiation in outpatient (OP) and hybrid (HY) settings.
- The aim of this real-world study was to evaluate the outcomes of patients with RRMM initiating Tec or Tal with SUD in OP, HY, and IP settings at a large community oncology practice network.

## Results

### Patient characteristics

- This study included 120 patients with RRMM (**Table 1**).
  - OP: Tec=13, Tal=10; IP: Tec=42, Tal=12; HY: Tec=29, Tal=14.
- Patients in OP and HY cohorts were older and had a lower Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group status than those in IP cohort.

**Table 1: Characteristics of Tec/Tal SUD patients in OP, IP, and HY settings**

Characteristics, n (%)	OP = 23	IP = 54	HY = 43
Age, years			
≥18 and <65	6 (26)	14 (26)	15 (35)
≥65 and <75 years	6 (26)	23 (43)	11 (26)
≥75	11 (48)	17 (31)	17 (40)
Sex			
Female	14 (61)	20 (37)	19 (44)
Male	9 (39)	34 (63)	24 (56)
Race			
White	20 (87)	41 (76)	29 (67)
Black/African American	2 (9)	7 (13)	12 (28)
Asian	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)
Other/Unknown	1 (4)	5 (9)	2 (5)
ECOG PS			
0-1	18 (78)	28 (52)	31 (72)
≥2	2 (9)	10 (18)	7 (16)
Unknown	3 (13)	16 (30)	5 (12)
High-risk cytogenetics*			
6 (26)	18 (33)	12 (28)	
Unknown	3 (13)	19 (35)	8 (19)
Caregiver status			
Yes	23 (100)	49 (91)	37 (86)
No	0 (0)	4 (7)	3 (7)
Unknown	0 (0)	1 (2)	3 (7)
R-ISS at index date			
Stage I	3 (13)	3 (6)	5 (12)
Stage II	3 (13)	12 (22)	4 (9)
Stage III	7 (30)	10 (19)	10 (23)
Unknown	10 (43)	29 (54)	24 (56)
Triple-class refractory	13 (57)	26 (48)	33 (77)
Penta-class exposed	16 (70)	43 (80)	35 (81)
Prior T-cell redirecting therapy	2 (9)	13 (24)	14 (33)

ECOG PS, Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status. HY, hybrid. IP, inpatient. OP, outpatient. R-ISS, Revised International Staging System.

\*High risk cytogenetics defined as (t(4; 14); t(14; 16); del17p).

### References

1. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (2022). Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-teclistamab-qyiv-relapsed-or-refractory-multiple-myeloma>
2. U.S. Food & Drug Administration (2023). Available at: <https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-grants-accelerated-approval-talquetamab-qyiv-relapsed-or-refractory-multiple-myeloma>

## Methods

### Study design and data source

- This was a retrospective, observational study of patients with RRMM from the OneOncology practice network, a large network of community oncology practices in the US.
- Patients ≥18 years were included if they received Tec after October 25, 2022 or Tal after August 9, 2023, and data were abstracted until February 28, 2025.
- Anonymized data on clinical characteristics, treatment history, SUD patterns, adverse events, and HCRU were extracted from patient charts and electronic medical records.
  - Patients were treated per the US Prescribing Information for pre-treatment and prophylactic measures per physician discretion.

- Among the OP, IP, and HY cohorts, 26%, 33%, and 28% had high-risk cytogenetics (t(4; 14); t(14; 16); del17p)), respectively.

### Treatment history

- Among patients receiving Tec, the median number of prior lines of therapy (LOTs) was 4 in all settings. However, among patients receiving Tal, the median prior LOTs were 4, 5, and 6 in OP, IP and HY, respectively.

### SUD characteristics

- All patients treated in an OP setting successfully completed SUD.
- The most frequent SUD schedule for Tec was 1-3-5 in OP (62%), and hybrid (38%), while 48% of IP patients had 1-4-7 SUD schedule.
- Most patients receiving Tal in an IP setting followed 1-4-7-10 dosing (58%), while 70% of OP and 71% of HY received other SUD schedules, typically a 1-4-8-10 schedule.

### Adverse events during SUD

- The frequency of CRS and ICANS during the SUD period was numerically comparable between the three cohorts (**Table 2**).
- All CRS events were grade 1 or 2 across all cohorts.

**Table 2: Adverse events during SUD of Tec/Tal in OP, IP, and HY settings**

Adverse event, n (%)	OP = 23	IP = 54	HY = 43
CRS during SUD			
14 (61)	27 (50)	27 (63)	
CRS Grade*			
Grade 1	9 (39)	20 (37)	11 (26)
Grade 2	5 (22)	4 (7)	16 (37)
Unknown	0 (0)	3 (6)	0 (0)
Recurrent CRS (≥2 events)	0 (0)	4 (7)	11 (26)
Discontinuation of Tec/Tal due to CRS			
0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	
ICANS during SUD			
1 (4)	3 (6)	6 (14)	
ICANS Grade			
Grade 1	0 (0)	1 (2)	4 (9)
Grade 2	1 (4)	1 (2)	1 (2)
Grade 3	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
Grade 4	0 (0)	1 (2)	0 (0)
Unknown	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)
Recurrent ICANS (≥2 events)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (5)
Discontinuation of Tec/Tal due to ICANS			
0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (2)	
Concurrent CRS and ICANS			
1 (4)	3 (6)	4 (9)	

CRS, cytokine release syndrome. HY, hybrid. ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome. IP, inpatient. OP, outpatient. SUD, step-up dosing.

\*No grade 3+ CRS events were observed

Note: Prophylactic tocilizumab was not utilized during SUD

### Data analysis

- Results were summarized descriptively by OP, IP, and HY cohort during the SUD period (SUD 1, SUD 2, SUD 3 [for Tal only] and first full treatment dose).
- The three cohorts were defined as:
  - OP: patients receiving all SUD doses in OP setting; treatment with acetaminophen or dexamethasone for grade 1 CRS (at physician discretion), or hospitalization for grade 2+ CRS
  - IP: patients receiving all SUD doses in an IP setting
  - HY: patients receiving SUD in an OP setting, followed by 48-hour IP observation

- The highest grade of ICANS was 2 in the OP and HY cohorts, and 4 in the IP cohort.
- No patients discontinued treatment with Tec or Tal due to CRS and one patient from the HY cohort discontinued Tec due to ICANS of unknown grade (**Table 2**).
- There were no recurrent CRS events in the OP setting, compared to 7% in IP and 26% in HY settings (**Table 2**).
- Similarly, there were no recurrent ICANS in the OP or IP cohorts; 5% in the HY cohort.

### HCRU during SUD

- Most patients (70%) in the OP cohort completed SUD without the need for hospitalization (**Table 3**).
- Of the five patients in the OP cohort with grade 2 CRS requiring hospitalization, the median duration of hospital stay was 4 days.

**Table 3: HCRU during SUD of Tec/Tal in OP, IP, and HY settings**

HCRU	OP = 23	IP = 54	HY = 43
All-cause hospitalizations within 14 days of index date, n (%)	7 (30)	54 (100)	43 (100)
LOS for all-cause hospitalizations within 14 days of index date, median (IQR)	4 (2 – 6)	10 (9 – 11)*	2 (2 – 2)
CRS-related re-admissions, n (%)	1 (4)	1 (2)	0 (0)
CRS-related ER visits, n (%)	5 (22)	2 (4)	2 (5)

CRS, cytokine release syndrome. ER, emergency room. HCRU, healthcare resource utilization. HY, hybrid. IQR, interquartile range. LOS, Length of stay IP, inpatient. OP, outpatient. SUD, step-up dosing.

\*Data available for 37 patients only.

### Treatment of adverse events occurring during SUD

- Tocilizumab and steroids were utilized for the treatment of CRS and ICANS, respectively (**Table 4**).

**Table 4: Treatment of CRS and ICANS in OP, IP, and HY settings\***

Characteristics, n (%)	OP = 23	IP = 54	HY = 43
Treatment for CRS			
Acetaminophen	2 (9)	4 (7)	6 (14)
Steroids	10 (43)	11 (20)	5 (12)
Tocilizumab	3 (13)	9 (17)	24 (56)
Treatment for ICANS			
Steroids	0 (0)	2 (4)	6 (14)
Levetiracetam	0 (0)	0 (0)	3 (7)

CRS, cytokine release syndrome. HY, hybrid. ICANS, immune effector cell-associated neurotoxicity syndrome. IP, inpatient. OP, outpatient.

\*Treatment information reported as available. Data may be missing.

