Suresh Durgam, MD¹; Willie R. Earley MD¹; Susan G. Kozauer, MD¹*; Changzheng Chen, PhD¹; Tobie Escher, PhD¹; Andrew J. Cutler, MD²



BACKGROUND

- Major depressive disorder (MDD) is a highly burdensome illness that is associated with functional impairment, psychiatric and medical comorbidities, and reduced quality of life
- MDD is often chronic, with high risk of relapse and recurrence that may require long-term or lifetime treatment²
- Most patients fail to achieve remission (≈75%) or response (≈60%) with first-line antidepressant treatment (ADT) and required treatment switch or adjunctive treatment3
 - Inadequate ADT response is associated with increased hospitalization and suicide risk and greater impairments in functioning4
- Currently, the only FDA-approved adjunctive treatment options for MDD are atypical antipsychotics that have safety and tolerability concerns that impact short- and long-term medication adherence including weight gain, cardiometabolic disturbances, and extrapyramidal symptoms (EPS)5
- Lumateperone is a mechanistically novel antipsychotic that is currently FDA-approved to treat schizophrenia and depressive episodes associated with bipolar I or bipolar II disorder as monotherapy and as adjunctive therapy with lithium or valproate⁶
 - Lumateperone is a simultaneous modulator of serotonin, dopamine, and glutamate neurotransmission7
 - Specifically, lumateperone is a potent serotonin 5-HT $_{2A}$ receptor antagonist, a dopamine D $_2$ receptor presynaptic partial agonist and postsynaptic antagonist, a D $_1$ receptor-dependent indirect modulator of AMPA and NMDA currents, and a serotonin reuptake
 - This novel mechanism of action with multimodal effects may confer robust efficacy with improved safety and tolerability compared with current treatment options
- The efficacy and safety of lumateperone 42 mg adjunctive to ADT was recently demonstrated in 2 Phase 3 trials (Study 501 NCT04985942 Study 502 NCT05061706)^{8,9}
- This Phase 3 open-label extension (OLE) trial, Study 503 (NCT05061719), examined the long-term safety and antidepressant effects of adjunctive lumateperone 42 mg in patients with MDD who had completed Study 501 or 502

METHODS

- Studies 501 and 502 enrolled patients aged 18-65 years, meeting DSM-5 criteria for MDD with inadequate response to 1-2 adequate courses of ADT in the current depressive episode and Montgomery Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) Total score ≥24 and Clinical Global Impression-Severity (CGI-S) score ≥4
- Patients who safely completed the 6-week double-blind treatment period could enroll in Study 503, which was a long-term OLE study to support FDA approval; all patients received open-label, oral, once-daily lumateperone 42 mg adjunctive to continued ADT
- During Study 503, lumateperone 42 mg was administered once daily in the evening for 26 weeks
- The primary endpoint was safety and tolerability of lumateperone A2 mg, measured by adverse events (AEs), EPS, suicidality, and changes in laboratory parameters, vital signs, physical examinations, and electrocardiogram (ECG) measures
 - EPS were assessed using a narrow standard MedDRA query for EPS-related treatment-emergent AEs (TEAEs) and the clinician-rated Abnormal Involuntary Movement Scale (AIMS), Barnes Akathisia Rating Scale (BARS), and Simpson-Angus Scale (SAS)
- The secondary endpoint was efficacy for depression symptoms, as measured by MADRS Total score and CGI-S score change from Study 501 or 502 baseline to Week 26 of open-label treatment

RESULTS

Patient Population

- Of the 809 patients enrolled in the OLE safety population, 684 patients (84.5%) completed the treatment period (**Table 1**)
 - The most common reasons for treatment discontinuation were adverse events (7.4%) and withdrawal of consent (5.1%)

Table 1. Patient Disposition of Safety Population

.'80"	Lumateperone 42 mg + ADT		
Enrolled in OLE, n	812		
Safety population, n	809		
Discontinued treatment, n (%)*	125 (15.5)		
Adverse event	60 (7.4)		
Patient withdrew consent	41 (5.1)		
Protocol violation	8 (1.0)		
Lack of efficacy	7 (0.9)		
Lost to follow-up	5 (0.6)		
Other	4 (0.5)		
Completed the OLE treatment period n (%)=	684 (84.5)		

- Demographics and baseline characteristics were similar to patients in the 6-week double-blind placebo-controlled treatment periods (Table 2)
- The most common selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor was citalopram/escitalopram (30.4) and the most common serotonin-norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor was venlafaxine/ desvenlafaxine (18.7)
- Mean MADRS and CGI-S scores at double-bind baseline indicated moderate-to-severe depression (Table 2)

Table 2. Baseline Demographics and Disease Characteristics in Safety Population

	Lumateperone 42 mg + ADT (N=809)
Age, mean (range), years	46.2 (18-66)
Sex, n (%)	
Female	549 (67.9)
Male	260 (32.1)
Race, n (%)	
White	703 (86.9)
Asian	61 (7.5)
Black	37 (4.6)
Other	8 (1.0)
Hispanic or Latino ethnicity, n (%)	86 (10.6)
No. of lifetime depressive episodes, mean (range)	3.8 (1-36)
No. of lifetime of treatmentfailures n (%)*	
1	596 (73.7)
2	213 (26.3)
Background ADT during the OLE	
SSRI	535 (66.1)
SNRI	224 (27.7)
Other (bupropion)	50 (6.2)
MADRS Total score	
At DB baseline, mean (SD)	30.7 (3.8)
At OLE baseline, mean (SD)	18.2 (8.6)
CGI-S score	
At DB baseline, mean (SD)	4.7 (0.6)
At OLE baseline, mean (SD)	3.4 (1.1)

Safety

Adverse Events

- Of 809 patients, 548 (67.7%) had ≥1 TEAE during the OLE (Table 3)
 - Most TEAEs (>98%) were mild or moderate in severity
 - Serious adverse events were 1.0%
- TEAEs that occurrd in >5% of patients were headache, dizziness, dry mouth, nausea, somnolence, diarrhea, and nasopharyngitis
- AEs led to discontinuation in 7.4% of patients; only dizziness led to discontinuation in more than 1% of patients (1.1%)
- No suicidal behavior (per Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale) or suicidality serious AEs were reported in the study

Table 3. Adverse Events in Safety Population During OLE Period

Event, n (%)	Lumateperone 42 mg + ADT (N=809)
≥1 TEAE	548 (67.7)
Drug-related TEAE	292 (36.1)
SAE	8 (1.0)
Discontinued treatment due to AE	60 (7.4)
Deaths	0
TEAEs occurring in ≥5% of patients	
Headache	134 (16.6)
Dizziness	86 (10.6)
Dry mouth	65 (8.0)
Nausea	62 (7.7)
Somnolence	58 (7.2)
Diarrhea	50 (6.2)
Nasopharyngitis	42 (5.2)

Body Morphology, Metabolic, Prolactin, and Vital Sign

- Changes in body morphology were small (Table 4)
- Potentially clinically significant weight increase or decrease (≥7% change from baseline) was low and similar
- There were minimal changes in cardiometabolic parameters (Table 4)
- Mean changes in blood pressure, heart rate, and respiratory rate
- Mean changes in prolactin levels at end of treatment were low, similar to what was seen in double-blind studies, and not clinically relevant

Table 4. Mean Change in Body Morphology, Cardio Parameters, and Prolactin During OLE Period

		Lumateperone 42 mg + ADT (N=809)		
	Baseline Mean (SD)	Mean Change From Baseline to EOT (SD)		
Weight, kg	78.96 (16.9)	-0.16 (3.72)		
BMI, kg/m²	27.8 (5.02)	-0.05 (1.33)		
Waist circumference, cm	92.96 (13.79)	-0.54 (5.50)		
Cholesterol, mg/dL				
Total	199.7 (42.10)	-8.2 (32.30)		
LDL	138.4 (41.24)	-9.6 (30.42)		
HDL	56.7 (16.94)	0.1 (11.79)		
Triglycerides, mg/dL	137.3 (81.66)	-0.2 (84.26)		
Glucose, mg/dL	93.3 (14.75)	1.1 (15.56)		
Insulin, μIU/L	14.51 (19.96)	-0.41 (22.41)		
Hemoglobin A1c, %	5.6 (0.44)	0.0 (0.34)		
Prolactin, ng/mL	10.07 (12.98)	1.13 (13.01)		
PCS criterion	n/N	n/N (%)		
≥7% increase in weight	66/77	66/779 (8.5)		
≥7% decrease in weight	75/77	75/779 (9.6)		

Extrapyramidal Symptoms Assessments

- There were no notable changes in EPS as assessed by clinician-rated scales during the study (Table 5)
- EPS as defined by categorical shifts in the BARS or SAS scales were
- The frequency of EPS-related TEAEs was 3.8%


Table 5 Changes in EPS-Related Scales During OLF Period

	Lumateperone 42 mg + ADT	
	Baseline Mean (SD)	Mean Change to EOT (SD)
BARS Total score	0.1 (0.52)	-0.1 (0.53)
AIMS Total score	0.0 (0.37)	-0.0 (0.38)
SAS Total score	0.1 (0.36)	-0.0 (0.38)
EPS defined by categorical shifts	n/N (%)²	
Parkinsonism: Baseline SAS >3 during treatment	4/776 (0.5)	
Akathisia: Baseline BARS >2 during treatment	14/770 (1.8)	

Assessment of Depression Symptoms

- Improvement in depression symptoms continued throughout the OLF period, as measured by change in MADRS Total score (Figure 1A)
- Similar improvement was seen in CGI-S score (data not shown)
- Most patients showed clinically meaningful improvements as assessed by MADRS response (79.5%) and remission (65.4%) criteria (Figure 1B)

Figure 1. Assessment of Depression Symptoms: Mean Change From Baseline in MADRS Total Score (A) and MADRS Response and Remission Rates (B)



CONCLUSIONS

REFERENCES

DISCLOSURES AND ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

