A Systematic Review in **Primary and Secondary** Warm Autoimmune Haemolytic Anemia: an Outcome-Focused Analysis to Inform the Design of Future Studies

Gonçalo Rodrigues¹, <u>Ann Leon^{2†}</u>, Catarina Silva³, João Pedro Aguiar³, Tom Verbiest⁴, Marie Fitzgibbon⁵, Wim Noel⁴, António Vaz Carneiro³

¹J&J Innovative Medicine, Madrid, Spain; ²J&J Innovative Medicine, Horsham, Pennsylvania, United States; ³Institute for Evidence Based Medicine, Lisbon, Portugal; ⁴J&J Innovative Medicine, Beerse, Belgium; ⁵J&J Innovative Medicine, Dublin, Ireland [†]Presenting author

Key Takeaway



It is essential to develop and implement a COS specifically tailored to wAIHA. This will require collaboration among clinicians, researchers, and patient advocacy groups to identify outcomes that are most valuable to both healthcare professionals and patients.

Conclusions



The current SLR identified a wide range of outcomes (partly due to the inclusion of case reports), a significant heterogeneity in outcomes across studies, and a lack of systematically standardized definitions.



These findings highlight the need for improved harmonization of wAIHA outcomes to enhance their relevance and comparability, and to strengthen the robustness of future studies and meta-analyses.



Moreover, the low percentage of patient-reported outcome measures may indicate a need for increased future incorporation of patient perspectives and outcomes in study design.



Please scan QR code to

www.CongressHub.com/EHA2025

The QR code is intended to provide scientific information for individual reference, and the information should not be altered or reproduced in any way.

Disclosures

All authors contributed to the study conception and design, data interpretation, writing and critical revision of the manuscript. All authors approved the final draft of the manuscript for publication. CS, JPA and AVC contributed to data extraction and data analysis. GR, AL, TV, WN and MF are employees of Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine. CS, JPA, AVC are employees of ISBE contracted by Johnson & ohnson Innovative Medicine for planning and performing the literature review, and for medical writing support. This study was funded by Johnson & Johnson Innovative Medicine.

Identification of studies via databases and other sources **Records identified from** (n=723): **Records removed before** Pubmed/MEDLINE screening: Duplicate records (n=405) removed (n = 166) • EMBASE (n=186) • Cochrane (n=1) • Citation searching (n=28) • ASH (n=16) • EHA (n=22) ISBT (n=1) • ISTH (n=0) • ISPOR (n=1) • Clinicaltrials.gov (n=25) • ENCePP (n=17) ISRCTN (n=2) • EUCTR (n=19) Records screened based on Records excluded (n=78) title or abstract (n=557) Records excluded (n=199); **Records assessed for** No abstract/full-text (n=2) eligibility (n=479) • Diagnosis (n=30) • Epidemiology (n=5) In vitro (n=1) • Genetic study (n=3) • Guideline (n=1) Molecular study (n=2) • No outcomes (n=34) • Not wAIHA (n=41) Studies included in review Pathophysiology (n=15) (n=280) • Review (n=63) Observational study • Uncertain wAIHA (n=1) (n=74)• Review of case series (n= Case report (n=143) • Case series (n=25) • Clinical trial (n=29) Systematic review (n=3) Meta-analysis (n=3) Targeted review (n=1) Scoping review (n=1) Simulation/modelling (n=1) References Xiao Z, Murakhovskaya I. Development of New Drugs for Autoimmune Hemolytic Anemia. Vol. 14, Pharmaceutics. MDPI; 2022. Barcellini W, Zaja F, Zaninoni A, Imperiali FG, Battista ML, Di Bona E, et al. Low-dose rituximab in adult patients with idiopathic autoimmune hemolytic anemia: Clinical efficacy and biologic studies. Blood. 2012 Apr 19;119(16):3691–7. . Berentsen S, Randen U, Oksman M, Birgens H, Tvedt THA, Dalgaard J, et al. Bendamustine plus rituximab for chronic cold agglutinin disease: Results of a Nordic prospective multicenter trial. Blood. 2017 Jul 27;130(4):537–41.

. https://www.prisma-statement.org/

8. https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero/

Introduction

- Warm autoimmune hemolytic anemia (wAIHA) is a rare and pote life-threatening condition characterized by the destruction of re blood cells due to autoantibodies active at body temperature.
- Since wAIHA is uncommon, treatment approaches have mostly k based on expert opinions or retrospective non-interventional stu with a limited number of randomized / prospective clinical trials
- While novel therapies have been proposed, there remains a significant gap in establishing a standardized core outcome set (COS) to guide research.

Aim

The main goal of this systematic review was to identify and categorize all outcomes and outcome measures reported in stud on primary or secondary wAIHA. Identifying these is crucial for guiding future research and encouraging the inclusion of outcon that are valuable to both healthcare professionals and patients.

Results

Eligible studies

- During the literature search, 723 articles/studies were identified (Figure 1). After removing duplicates, 557 articles were further screened. Based on the initial screening of titles and abstracts, studies were excluded; 479 articles underwent full-text review 199 were excluded.
- Of the 280 studies included in the systematic review, the majori were case reports/series (60%). There were 26% were observat studies and 10% were clinical trials.

Figure 1: PRISMA flowchart

	Methods		
entially ed been	 This systematic review followed the Preferre Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRIS registered in May 2024 at the International P Systematic Reviews (8) (PROSPERO CRD42) 	s systematic review followed the Preferred Reporting Items for tematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (7) and istered in May 2024 at the International Prospective Register of tematic Reviews (8) (PROSPERO CRD42024537669).	
cudies,	Search strategy		
s (1-6).	 We performed a comprehensive search of PubMed/MEDLINE, EMBASE, and Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews. 		
	 Study registries and conference proceedings in the field were also reviewed. 		
	Eligibility criteria		
	 Inclusion criteria 		
ıdies mes	 Clinical trials, observational studies, case reports, case series, systematic reviews and meta-analysis between January 2000 and April 2024, in English, all ages, diagnosed with primary or secondary wAIHA. 		
	• C		
	Characteristics of the studios		
al			
78 and rity tional	or performed over last 5 years (2019-2024); the majority involved a single country; and one-third were performed in the USA; 23% included more than 20 patients; 42% of the studies included patients with secondary wAIHA only (38.5% due to infectious diseases), 29% primary, 25% both and 4% unknown; most of the studies included adults only (72%); most reported use of corticosteroids and 43.6% reported monoclonal antibodies (Table 1).		
	Table 1: Description of the studies included in our sy	ystematic review	
	Included studies, n (%)	N=280	
	Year of study		
	2000-2018	135 (48.3%)	
	2019-2024 (until 16 April)	145 (51.7%)	
	Countries involved		
	Single country	271 (96.8%)	
	Multi-country	9 (3.2%)	
94	Geography		
	United States of America	99 (35.4%)	
	Europe	80 (28.6%)	
	Other	101 (36%)	
	Type of study		
	Case report	143 (51.1%)	
	Case series	25 (8.9%)	
	Observational	74 (26.4%)	
	Retrospective	57 (77.0%)	
	Clinical trial	29 (10.4%)	
	Phase 2	18 (62.1%)	
	Systematic review	3 (1.1%)	
	Meta-analysis	3 (1.1%)	
	Scoping review	1 (0.4%)	
	Targeted review	1 (0.4%)	
	Simulation/modelling	1 (0.4%)	
	Age		
2)	Adults	202 (72.1%)	
	Children	44 (15.7%)	
	Children and adults	24 (8.6%)	
	Unknown	10 (3.6%)	
	Type of wAIHA		
	Secondary	117 (41.8%)	
	Primary	81 (28.9%)	
	Primary and secondary	70 (25%)	
1)	Unknown	12 (4.3%)	
	Reported treatments (alone or in combination) (>10%)		
	Corticosteroids	185 (66.1%)	
	Monoclonal antibodies	122 (43.6%)	

Blood transfusion

Immunoglobulins

Splenectomy

Immunosuppressant

4. Michel M, Terriou L, Roudot-Thoraval F, Hamidou M, Ebbo M, Le Guenno G, et al. A randomized and double-blind controlled trial evaluating the safety and efficacy of rituximab for warm auto-immune hemolytic anemia in adults (the RAIHA study). Am J Hematol. 2017 Jan 1;92(1):23–7. 5. Berentsen S, Randen U, Vågan AM, Hjorth-Hansen H, Vik A, Dalgaard J, et al. High response rate and durable remissions following fludarabine and rituximab combination therapy for chronic cold agglutinin disease. Blood. 2010 Oct 28;116(17):3180–4.

6. Berentsen S, Ulvestad E, Gjertsen BT, Hjorth-Hansen H, Langholm R, Knutsen H, et al. Rituximab for primary chronic cold agglutinin disease: A prospective study of 37 courses of therapy in 27 patients. Blood. 2004 Apr 15;103(8):2925-8

112 (40%)

66 (23.6%)

62 (22.1%)

33 (11.8%)

Exclusion criteria

- Cold agglutinin disease and Evan's syndrome, animal, *in vitro*, molecular, genetic, pathophysiology, or pharmacokinetic/dynamic studies, and no reported outcomes.

Study selection

Two independent authors assessed the eligibility of all retrieved articles based on title, abstract, or full-text.

Data extraction

• Extracted data included study design, country, year, setting, number of patients, wAIHA classification, children/adults, treatments, reported outcomes and definitions whenever available.

Data analysis

• Extracted data was descriptively summarized.

Outcomes

- A total of 401 outcomes were identified and categorized into 14 domains (Figure 2). Most outcomes reported were within the domains of hemolysis, hemolytic markers, response and treatment pattern.
- There was no consistent or universal definition for 'response' and 40% of the publications did not provide a definition. Quality of life was only reported in 3% of the studies. Validated patient reported outcome measures were identified in only 12 (4%) studies.

Figure 2: Description of outcome domains in wAIHA studies



Warm Autoimmune Hemolytic Anemia (wAIHA)

